arXiv:1304.5696v1 [math.PR] 21 Apr 2013

Fluctuations of the power variation of iterated fractional Brownian motion

Raghid Zeineddine

April 23, 2013

Abstract

We study the fluctuations of the power variation of the iterated fractional Brownian motion.

Key words: Fractional Brownian motion, iterated fractional Brownian motion, iterated Brownian motion, Hermite process, limit theorem.

MSC: 60F05; 60G22; 60H05; 60K37.

1 Intoduction

Studying the variations of a stochastic process is of fundamental importance in probability theory. In this paper, we are interested in the iterated fractional Brownian motion, which is defined as follows. Consider a fractional Brownian motion X on $\mathbb{R}$ with Hurst parameter $H \in (0,1)$, as well as a standard Brownian motion Y on $\mathbb{R}_+$ independent from X. The process $Z = X \circ Y$ is the so-called *iterated fractional Brownian motion* (I.F.B.M. in short). It is a self-similar process (of order $H/2$) with stationary increments, which is not Gaussian. When $H = 1/2$, one recovers the celebrated iterated Brownian motion.

In recent years, starting with the articles of Burdzy [1, 2], there has been an increased interest in iterated processes in which one changes the time parameter with one-dimensional Brownian motion, see, e.g., [3, 5, 6, 7, 9] to cite but a few. In the present paper, we are concerned with the study of the fluctuations of the pth variation of the I.F.B.M. Z for any (integer) p, defined as

$$R_n^{(p)}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} (Z_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - Z_{k2^{-n}})^p, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

To be more precise, after proper normalization we may expect the f.d.d. convergence to a non-degenerate limit (to be determined) of

$$S_n^{(p)}(t) = 2^{-n\kappa} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1} \left((Z_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - Z_{k2^{-n}})^p - E[(Z_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - Z_{k2^{-n}})^p] \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \geqslant 0,$$

for some $\kappa > 0$ to be discovered. To reach this goal, a classical strategy consists in expanding the power function x^p in terms of Hermite polynomials evaluated in random variables with variance one. Doing so, our problem is reduced to the joint analysis of the following quantities:

$$U_n^{(r)}(t) = 2^{-n\widetilde{\kappa}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor -1} H_r(2^{nH/2}(Z_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - Z_{k2^{-n}})), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \geqslant 0, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}^*. \tag{1.1}$$

Here, $\widetilde{\kappa} > 0$ is some constant depending a priori on r, whereas H_r denotes the rth Hermite polynomial ($H_1(x) = x$, $H_2(x) = x^2 - 1$, etc.). Due to the fact that one cannot separate X from Y inside Z in the definition of $U_n^{(r)}$, working directly with (1.1) seems to be a difficult task (see also [7, Problem 5.1]). This is why, following an idea introduced by Khosnevisan and Lewis [6] in the study of the case $H = 1/2$, we will rather analyze $U_n^{(r)}$ by means of certain stopping times for Y. The idea is quite simple: by stopping Y as it crosses certain levels, and by sampling Z at these times, one can effectively separate X from Y. To be more specific, let us introduce the following collection of stopping times (with respect to the natural filtration of Y), noted

$$\mathscr{T}_n = \{T_{k,n} : k \geqslant 0\}, \quad n \geqslant 0, \tag{1.2}$$

which are in turn expressed in terms of the subsequent hitting times of a dyadic grid cast on the real axis. More precisely, let $\mathscr{D}_n = \{j2^{-n/2} : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $n \geqslant 0$, be the dyadic partition (of $\mathbb{R}$) of order $n/2$. For every $n \geqslant 0$, the stopping times $T_{k,n}$, appearing in (1.2), are given by the following recursive definition: $T_{0,n} = 0$, and

$$T_{k,n} = \inf\left\{s > T_{k-1,n} : \quad Y(s) \in \mathscr{D}_n \setminus \{Y(T_{k-1,n})\}\right\}, \quad k \geqslant 1.$$

Note that the definition of $T_{k,n}$, and therefore of $\mathscr{T}_n$, only involves the one-sided Brownian motion Y, and that, for every $n \geqslant 0$, the discrete stochastic process

$$\mathscr{Y}_n = \{Y(T_{k,n}) : k \geqslant 0\}$$

defines a simple random walk over $\mathscr{D}_n$. As shown in [6], as n tends to infinity the collection $\{T_{k,n} : 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2^n t\}$ approximates the common dyadic partition $\{k2^{-n} : 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2^n t\}$ of order n of the time interval $[0, t]$ (see [6, Lemma 2.2] for a precise statement). Based on this fact, one can introduce the counterpart of (1.1) based on $\mathscr{T}_n$, namely,

$$V_n^{(r)}(t) = 2^{-n\widetilde{\kappa}} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor -1} H_r(2^{nH/2}(Z_{T_{k+1,n}} - Z_{T_{k,n}})), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \geqslant 0, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}^*. \tag{1.3}$$

We are now in a position to state the main result of the present paper.

Theorem 1.1 *The following two f.d.d. convergences in law take place as $n \to \infty$ for any integer $N \geqslant 1$.*

(1) Assume that $H \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. One has

$$2^{-n/4}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor -1} H_{2r-1}(2^{nH/2}(Z_{T_{k+1,n}} - Z_{T_{k,n}})) : 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0} \xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} \left\{\sigma_{2r-1} E_t^{(r)} : 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0}, \tag{1.4}$$

where σ_{2r-1} is some (explicit) constant and $E = (B^{(1)} \circ Y, \ldots, B^{(N)} \circ Y)$, with $B = (B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(N)})$ a N-dimensional two-sided Brownian motion independent from Y.

(2) Assume that $H < \frac{3}{4}$. One has

$$2^{-3n/4}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor-1} H_{2r}(2^{nH/2}(Z_{T_{k+1,n}}-Z_{T_{k,n}})) : 1\leqslant r\leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} \left\{\sigma_{2r}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L_t^x(Y)dB_x^{(r)} : 1\leqslant r\leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0}, \tag{1.5}$$

where σ_{2r} is some (explicit) constant, $B=(B^{(1)},\ldots,B^{(N)})$ is a N-dimensional two-sided Brownian motion independent from Y and $L_t^x(Y)$ stands for the local time of Y before time t at level x.

The process $\{\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t^x(Y)dB_x^{(r)}\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ appearing in (1.5) is nothing but the *Brownian motion in Random Scenery* introduced by Kesten and Spitzer (see [8]).

As a corollary of this theorem, we deduce the fluctuations of the power variation of Z:

Corollary 1.2 *The following two f.d.d. convergences in law take place as $n\to\infty$ for any integer $N\geqslant 1$.*

(1) Assume that $H\leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. One has

$$\left\{2^{\frac{-n}{4}(1-(4r-2)H)}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor-1}(Z_{T_{k+1,n}}-Z_{T_{k,n}})^{2r-1} : 1\leqslant r\leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{r} a_{r,k}\sigma_{2k-1}E_t^{(k)} : 1\leqslant r\leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $a_{r,k}$ is some constant given by: $a_{r,k}=\sum_{l=0}^{k-1}\frac{(-1)^l(2(r+k-l-1))!}{l!(2(k-l)-1)!(r+k-l-1)!2^{r+k-1}}$.

(2) Assume that $H<\frac{3}{4}$. One has

$$\left\{2^{\frac{-3n}{4}(1-\frac{4rH}{3})}\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor-1}\left((Z_{T_{k+1,n}}-Z_{T_{k,n}})^{2r}-2^{-nrH}b_{r,0}\right) : 1\leqslant r\leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0}$$
$$\xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{r} b_{r,k}\sigma_{2k}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L_t^x(Y)dB_x^{(k)} : 1\leqslant r\leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $b_{r,k}$ is some constant given by: $b_{r,k}=\sum_{l=0}^{k}\frac{(-1)^l(2(r+k-l))!}{l!(2(k-l))!(r+k-l)!2^{r+k}}$.

Note that $b_{r,0}=E\big[(2^{nH/2}(Z_{T_{k+1,n}}-Z_{T_{k,n}}))^{2r}\big]=E[N^{2r}]$, with $N\sim\mathcal{N}(0,1)$.

In the particular case where $H=1/2$ (that is, when Z is an iterated Brownian motion) and $r=2,3,4$, we emphasize that Corollary 1.2 allows one to recovers Theorems 3.2, 4.4 and 4.5 from Khoshnevisan and Lewis [6].

The organisation of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide some needed preliminaries. Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are then shown in Section 3.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect several results that are useful for the proof of Theorem 1.1 .

2.1 An algebraic lemma and some local time estimates

For each integer $n \geqslant 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and real number $t \geqslant 0$, let $U_{j,n}(t)$ (resp. $D_{j,n}(t)$) denote the number of *upcrossings* (resp. *downcrossings*) of the interval $[j2^{-n/2}, (j+1)2^{-n/2}]$ within the first $\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor$ steps of the random walk $\{Y(T_{k,n})\}_{k\geqslant 1}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{j,n}(t) = \sharp\big\{ & k = 0, \ldots, \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1 : \\ & Y(T_{k,n}) = j2^{-n/2} \text{ and } Y(T_{k+1,n}) = (j+1)2^{-n/2} \big\}; \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{j,n}(t) = \sharp\big\{ & k = 0, \ldots, \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor - 1 : \\ & Y(T_{k,n}) = (j+1)2^{-n/2} \text{ and } Y(T_{k+1,n}) = j2^{-n/2} \big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

The following lemma will play a crucial role in our study of the asymptotic behavior of $V_n^{(r)}$. Its main feature is to separate X from Y, thus providing a representation of $V_n^{(r)}$ which is amenable to analysis.

Lemma 2.1 *(See [6, Lemma 2.4]) Fix $t \geqslant 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then*

$$V_n^{(r)}(t) \;=\; \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} H_r\left(2^{nH/2}\big(X_{(j+1)2^{-\frac{n}{2}}} - X_{j2^{-\frac{n}{2}}}\big)\right)\big(U_{j,n}(t) + (-1)^r D_{j,n}(t)\big). \tag{2.10}$$

Also, in order to prove the second point of Theorem 1.1 we will need estimates on the local time of Y taken from [6], that we collect in the following statement.

Proposition 2.2 *1. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $t > 0$, we have*

$$E\big[(L_t^x(Y))^p\big] \leqslant 2\, E\big[(L_1^0(Y))^p\big]\, t^{p/2} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t}\right).$$

2. There exists a positive constant μ such that, for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $ab \geqslant 0$ and $t > 0$,

$$E\big[|L_t^b(Y) - L_t^a(Y)|^2\big]^{1/2} \leqslant \mu\, \sqrt{|b-a|}\, t^{1/4} \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{4t}\right).$$

3. There exists a positive random variable $K \in L^8$ such that, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, every $n \geqslant 0$ and every $t > 0$, one has that

$$|\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t) - L_t^{j2^{-n/2}}(Y)| \leqslant 2Kn2^{-n/4}\sqrt{L_t^{j2^{-n/2}}(Y)},$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t) = 2^{-n/2}(U_{j,n}(t) + D_{j,n}(t))$.

2.2 Breuer-Major Theorem

Let $\{G_k\}_{k\geqslant 1}$ be a centered stationary Gaussian sequence. In this Gaussian context, stationary just means that there exist $\rho : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $E[G_k G_l] = \rho(k-l)$, $k, l \geqslant 1$. Assume further that $\rho(0) = 1$, that is, each G_k is $\mathscr{N}(0,1)$ distributed. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying

$$E[\varphi^2(G_1)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^2(x) e^{-x^2/2} dx < +\infty. \tag{2.11}$$

The function φ may be expanded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}dx)$ (in a unique way) in terms of Hermite polynomials as follows:

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{q=0}^{+\infty} a_q H_q(x). \tag{2.12}$$

Let $d \geqslant 0$ be the *Hermite rank* of φ, that is, the first integer $q \geqslant 0$ such that $a_q \neq 0$ in (2.12). We then have the celebrated Breuer-Major Theorem (see [4], see also [10] for a modern proof).

Theorem 2.3 (Breuer-Major) *Let $\{G_k\}_{k\geqslant 1}$ (with covariance ρ) and $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ (with Hermite index d) be as above. Assume further that $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\rho(k)|^d < +\infty$. Then, as $n \to +\infty$,*

$$2^{-n/2} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor 2^n t \rfloor} \big(\varphi(G_k) - E[\varphi(G_k)] \big) \right\}_{t\geqslant 0} \overset{\text{f.d.d.}}{\to} \{\sigma B_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}, \tag{2.13}$$

with B a standard Brownian motion and $\sigma > 0$ given by

$$\sigma^2 = \sum_{q=d}^{+\infty} q! a_q^2 \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(k)^q \in [0, +\infty[. \tag{2.14}$$

2.3 Peccati-Tudor Theorem

Let $d \geqslant 2$ and $q_1, \ldots, q_d \geqslant 1$ be some fixed integers. Consider a sequence of random vectors $F_n = (F_{1,n}, \ldots, F_{d,n})$ of the following form. Each $F_{i,n}$ can be written as

$$F_{i,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{N_n} a_{j,n} H_{q_i}(Y_{j,n}),$$

where N_n is an integer, $a_{j,n}$ are real numbers and $\{Y_{j,n}\}_{j\geqslant 0}$ is a centered stationary Gaussian family with unit variance. We then have the following result, shown in [11].

Theorem 2.4 (Peccati-Tudor) *Let (F_n) be a sequence as above. Let $C \in \mathscr{M}_d(\mathbb{R})$ be a symmetric and positive matrix, and let N be a centered Gaussian vector with covariance C. Assume that*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} E[F_{i,n} F_{j,n}] = C(i,j), \; 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant d. \tag{2.15}$$

Then, as $n \to +\infty$, the following two conditions are equivalent:
(a) F_n converges in law to N;
(b) for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, $F_{i,n}$ converges in law to $\mathscr{N}(0, C(i,i))$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

3.1 Proof of (1.4)

Recall the definition (1.3) of $V_n^{(r)}(t)$ and let us fix $\widetilde{\kappa} = 1/4$. First of all, let us apply Lemma 2.1. Because $2r-1$ is an odd number, we obtain that

$$V_n^{(2r-1)}(t) = 2^{-n/4} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} H_{2r-1}(2^{nH/2}(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X_{j2^{-n/2}}))(U_{j,n}(t) - D_{j,n}(t)). \tag{3.16}$$

Now, let us observe (see also [6, Lemma 2.5]) that

$$U_{j,n}(t) - D_{j,n}(t) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1}(0 \leqslant j < j^*(n,t)) & \text{if } j^*(n,t) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } j^* = 0 \\ -\mathbf{1}(j^*(n,t) \leqslant j < 0) & \text{if } j^*(n,t) < 0 \end{cases},$$

where

$$j^*(n,t) = 2^{n/2} Y_{T_{\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor,n}}.$$

As a consequence,

$$V_n^{(2r-1)}(t) \quad = \quad \begin{cases} 2^{-n/4}\sum_{j=1}^{j^*(n,t)} H_{2r-1}(2^{nH/2}(X^+_{j2^{-n/2}} - X^+_{(j-1)2^{-n/2}})) & \text{if } j^*(n,t) > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } j^* = 0 \\ 2^{-n/4}\sum_{j=1}^{|j^*(n,t)|} H_{2r-1}(2^{nH/2}(X^-_{j2^{-n/2}} - X^-_{(j-1)2^{-n/2}})) & \text{if } j^*(n,t) < 0 \end{cases},$$

where $X_t^+ = X_t$ for $t \geqslant 0$ and $X^-_{-t} = X_t$ for $t < 0$. Our analysis of $V_n^{(2r-1)}$ will become easier if one introduces the following sequence of processes $W_{\pm,n}^{(2r-1)}$, in which we have replaced $\sum_{j=1}^{\pm j^*(n,t)}$ by $\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor}$, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(t) &= 2^{-n/4}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor} H_{2r-1}(2^{nH/2}(X^+_{j2^{-n/2}} - X^+_{(j-1)2^{-n/2}})), \quad t \geqslant 0 \\ W_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(t) &= 2^{-n/4}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor} H_{2r-1}(2^{nH/2}(X^-_{j2^{-n/2}} - X^-_{(j-1)2^{-n/2}})), \quad t \geqslant 0, \\ W_n^{(2r-1)}(t) &= \begin{cases} W_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(t) & \text{if } t \geqslant 0 \\ W_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(-t) & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear, using the self-similarity property of X, that the f.d.d. convergence in law of the vector $(W_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}, W_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N)$ is equivalent to the f.d.d. convergence in law of the vector $(\overline{W}_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}, \overline{W}_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N)$ defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{W}_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(t) &= 2^{-n/4}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor} H_{2r-1}(X_j^+ - X_{j-1}^+), \quad t \geqslant 0 \\ \overline{W}_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(t) &= 2^{-n/4}\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor} H_{2r-1}(X_j^- - X_{j-1}^-), \quad t \geqslant 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G_j = X_j^+ - X_{j-1}^+$. The family $\{G_j\}$ is Gaussian, stationary, centered, with variance 1; moreover its covariance ρ is given by

$$\rho(k) = E[G_j G_{j+k}] = \frac{1}{2}\big(|k+1|^{2H} + |k-1|^{2H} - 2|k|^{2H}\big), \tag{3.17}$$

so that $\sum |\rho(k)| < \infty$ because $H \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, Breuer-Major Theorem 2.3 applies and yields that, as $n \to \infty$ and for any fixed r,

$$\{\overline{W}_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(t) : t \geqslant 0\} \overset{\text{f.d.d.}}{\longrightarrow} \sigma_{2r-1}\{B^{+,r}(t) : t \geqslant 0\},$$

with $B^{+,r}$ a standard Brownian motion and $\sigma_{2r-1} = \sqrt{(2r-1)! \sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(a)^{2r-1}}$. Similarly,

$$\{\overline{W}_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(t) : t \geqslant 0\} \overset{\text{f.d.d.}}{\longrightarrow} \sigma_{2r-1}\{B^{-,r}(t) : t \geqslant 0\},$$

with $B^{-,r}$ a standard Brownian motion and σ_{2r-1} as above. In order to deduce the joint convergence in law of $(\overline{W}_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}, \overline{W}_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N)$, from Peccati-Tudor Theorem 2.4 and taking into account that $E[\overline{W}_{\pm,n}^{(2r-1)}(t)\overline{W}_{\pm,n}^{(2l-1)}(t)] = 0$ for $l \neq r$ (since Hermite polynomials of different orders are orthogonal), it remains to check that, for any integer r and any real numbers $t, s \geqslant 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[\overline{W}_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(t)\overline{W}_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(s)] = 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Let us do it. One can write,

$$\begin{aligned}
&E[\overline{W}_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(t)\overline{W}_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(s)] \\
&= 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor} E[H_{2r-1}(X_k^+ - X_{k-1}^+) H_{2r-1}(X_l^- - X_{l-1}^-)] \\
&= (2r-1)!\, 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor} \big(E[(X_k^+ - X_{k-1}^+)(X_l^- - X_{l-1}^-)]\big)^{2r-1} \\
&= (2r-1)!\, 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor} \big(E[(X_k - X_{k-1})(X_{-l} - X_{-l+1})]\big)^{2r-1} \\
&= (2r-1)!\, 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor} \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor} \big(\frac{1}{2}[\, 2|k+l-1|^{2H} - |k+l|^{2H} - |k+l-2|^{2H}]\big)^{2r-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $a = k + l$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&E[\overline{W}_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(t)\overline{W}_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(s)] \\
&= 2^{-(2r-1)}(2r-1)!\, 2^{-n/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor} \sum_{a=k+1}^{k+\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor} \big(2|a-1|^{2H} - |a|^{2H} - |a-2|^{2H}\big)^{2r-1}, \\
&= 2^{-(2r-1)}(2r-1)!\, 2^{-n/2} \sum_{a=2}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor + \lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor} \sum_{k=(a-\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor)\vee 1}^{(a-1)\wedge \lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor} \big(2|a-1|^{2H} - |a|^{2H} - |a-2|^{2H}\big)^{2r-1} \\
&= 2^{-(2r-1)}(2r-1)!\, 2^{-n/2} \sum_{a\in\mathbb{N}} f_n(a),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_n(a) \;:=\; \big(2|a-1|^{2H}-|a|^{2H}-|a-2|^{2H}\big)^{2r-1}\big((a-1)\wedge\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor-(a-\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor)\vee 1+1\big)$$
$$\times\mathbf{1}_{\{2\leqslant a\leqslant\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor+\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor\}}.$$

We observe that there exists $C_{s,t}>0$ such that, for all n,

$$2^{-n/2}|f_n(a)|\leqslant C_{s,t}\big|2|a-1|^{2H}-|a|^{2H}-|a-2|^{2H}\big|^{2r-1}$$

with $\sum_{a\in\mathbb{N}}\big|2|a-1|^{2H}-|a|^{2H}-|a-2|^{2H}\big|^{2r-1}<\infty$ (recall that $H\leqslant 1/2$). Moreover, $2^{-n/2}f_n(a)\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0$ for any fixed a. Hence, the dominated convergence theorem applies and yields $2^{-n/2}\sum\limits_{a\in\mathbb{N}}f_n(a)\underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0$, that is, (3.18) holds true. As we said, using Peccati-Tudor Theorem 2.4 one thus obtains that

$$(W_{+,n}^{(2r-1)},W_{-,n}^{(2r-1)},\,1\leqslant r\leqslant N)\overset{\text{f.d.d.}}{\longrightarrow}(\sigma_{2r-1}B^{+,r},\sigma_{2r-1}B^{-,r},\,1\leqslant r\leqslant N),\tag{3.19}$$

with $(B^{+,r},B^{-,r},\,1\leqslant r\leqslant N)$ a $2N$-dimensional standard Brownian motion. As a consequence, we have

$$(W_n^{(2r-1)}(t),\,1\leqslant r\leqslant N)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\overset{\text{f.d.d.}}{\longrightarrow}(\sigma_{2r-1}B^{(r)}(t),\,1\leqslant r\leqslant N)_{t\in\mathbb{R}},\tag{3.20}$$

with $(B^{(r)},\,1\leqslant r\leqslant N)$ a N-dimensional two-sided Brownian motion.

On the other hand, let us prove for any $r\in\mathbb{N}^*$ the existence of $C_r>0$ such that, for any n and any $s,t\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$E[(W_n^{(2r-1)}(t)-W_n^{(2r-1)}(s))^2]\leqslant 4C_r(2^{-n/2}+|t-s|).\tag{3.21}$$

To do so, we distinguish three cases, according to the sign of $s,t\in\mathbb{R}$ (and reducing the problem by symmetry) :

(1) if $0\leqslant s\leqslant t$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E[(W_n^{(2r-1)}(t)-W_n^{(2r-1)}(s))^2]\\
&=E[(\overline{W}_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(t)-\overline{W}_{+,n}^{(2r-1)}(s))^2]=\Bigg|2^{-n/2}E\Big[\Big(\sum_{j=\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor}H_{2r-1}(X_j^+-X_{j-1}^+)\Big)^2\Big]\Bigg|\\
&=\Bigg|(2r-1)!\,2^{-n/2}\sum_{j,k=\lfloor 2^n s\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor}(\rho(j-k))^{2r-1}\Bigg|\\
&=\Bigg|(2r-1)!\,2^{-n/2}\sum_{j=\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor}\;\sum_{a=j-\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor}^{j-\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor-1}(\rho(a))^{2r-1}\Bigg|\\
&\leqslant(2r-1)!\,2^{-n/2}\sum_{a=\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor-\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor-\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor-1}|\rho(a)|^{2r-1}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\big|(a+\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor)\wedge\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor-(a+\lfloor 2^n s\rfloor)\vee(\lfloor 2^n s\rfloor)\big|\\
&\leqslant(2r-1)!\,2^{-n/2}\sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}}|\rho(a)|^{2r-1}\big|\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor-\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor\big|=C_r2^{-n/2}\big|\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor-\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor\big|\\
&\leqslant C_r(|2^{-n/2}\lfloor 2^{n/2}t\rfloor-t|+|t-s|+|2^{-n/2}\lfloor 2^{n/2}s\rfloor-s|)\leqslant C_r(22^{-n/2}+|t-s|)\\
&\leqslant 2C_r(2^{-n/2}+|t-s|),
\end{aligned}$$

with $C_r = (2r-1)! \sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} |\rho(a)|^{2r-1} < \infty$, hence (3.21) holds true.

(2) if $s \leqslant t \leqslant 0$: by the same argument as above

$$\begin{aligned}
&E[(W_n^{(2r-1)}(t) - W_n^{(2r-1)}(s))^2] \\
&= E[(\overline{W}_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(-s) - \overline{W}_{-,n}^{(2r-1)}(-t))^2] \leqslant C_r(2^{-n/2}\big|\lfloor 2^{n/2}|t|\rfloor - \lfloor 2^{n/2}|s|\rfloor\big|) \\
&\leqslant C_r(\big|2^{-n/2}\lfloor 2^{n/2}|t|\rfloor - |t|\big| + \big||t|-|s|\big| + \big|2^{-n/2}\lfloor 2^{n/2}|s|\rfloor - |s|\big|) \\
&\leqslant C_r(22^{-n/2} + \big||t|-|s|\big|) \\
&\leqslant 2C_r(2^{-n/2} + \big||t|-|s|\big|) \leqslant 2C_r(2^{-n/2} + |t-s|),
\end{aligned}$$

so that (3.21) holds true as well.

(3) if $s < 0 < t$: using the two previous inequality (point (1) and point (2)) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
E[(W_n^{(2r-1)}(t) - W_n^{(2r-1)}(s))^2] &\leqslant 2E[(W_n^{(2r-1)}(t) - W_n^{(2r-1)}(0))^2] \\
&\quad + 2E[(W_n^{(2r-1)}(s) - W_n^{(2r-1)}(0))^2] \\
&\leqslant 2C_r(2^{-n/2} + t) + 2C_r(2^{-n/2} + |s|) \\
&= 4C_r 2^{-n/2} + 2C_r(t + |s|) \\
&= 4C_r 2^{-n/2} + 2C_r|t-s| \\
&\leqslant 4C_r(2^{-n/2} + |t-s|).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.21).

Now, let us go back to $V_n^{(2r-1)}$. Observe that

$$V_n^{(2r-1)}(t) = W_n^{(2r-1)}(Y_{T_{\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor,n}})$$

and recall from [6, Lemma 2.3] that $E[|Y_{T_{\lfloor 2^n t\rfloor,n}} - Y(t)|] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for any $t > 0$. We deduce, combining these two latter facts with (3.21), that

$$V_n^{(2r-1)}(t) - W_n^{(2r-1)}(Y_t) \overset{L^2}{\to} 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

But Y is independent from $W_n^{(2r-1)}$ so, from (3.20), it comes that

$$(W_n^{(2r-1)} \circ Y, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N) \xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}} (\sigma_{2r-1} B^{(r)} \circ Y, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N), \tag{3.22}$$

from which the desired conclusion (1.4) follows.

3.2 Proof of (1.5)

Recall the definition (1.3) and let us fix $\widetilde{\kappa} = 3/4$. First of all, let us apply Lemma 2.1. Because $2r$ is an even number, we obtain that

$$V_n^{(2r)}(t) = 2^{-3n/4} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} H_{2r}(2^{nH/2}(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X_{j2^{-n/2}}))(U_{j,n}(t) + D_{j,n}(t)). \tag{3.23}$$

Set $\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t) = 2^{-n/2}(U_{j,n}(t) + D_{j,n}(t))$, so that

$$V_n^{(2r)}(t) \;=\; 2^{-n/4}\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} H_{2r}(2^{nH/2}(X_{(j+1)2^{-n/2}} - X_{j2^{-n/2}}))\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t).$$

At this stage, to simplify the exposition, let us introduce the short-hand notation

$$X_j^{(n)} = 2^{nH/2}X_{j2^{-n/2}}.$$

Fix $t \geqslant 0$. Studying the convergence in law of $V_n^{(2r)}(t)$ as n tends to infinity is equivalent to studying the convergence of the sequence $a_n := E[f(V_n^{(2r)}(t))]$ where f is a bounded and continuous mapping. It is known that $a_n \to a$, for some real constant a, if and only if $a_{2n} \to a$ and $a_{2n+1} \to a$. So, in what follows, we will study (separately) the convergence in law of $V_n^{(2r)}(t)$ when n is even ($n \to \infty$) and when n is odd ($n \to \infty$).

We will study now the convergence in law of $V_n^{(2r)}(t)$ when n is even ($n \to \infty$), the analysis when n is odd being mutatis mutandis the same. For any even integers $n \geqslant m \geqslant 0$ and any integer $p \geqslant 0$, by following Nourdin and Peccati (see [9]) let us decompose $V_n^{(2r)}(t)$ as

$$V_n^{(2r)}(t) = A_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t) + B_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t) + C_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t) + D_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t) &= 2^{-n/4}\sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}}\;\sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} H_{2r}(X_{i+1}^{(n)} - X_i^{(n)})(\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t) - L_t^{i2^{-n/2}}(Y))\\
B_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t) &= 2^{-n/4}\sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}}\;\sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} H_{2r}(X_{i+1}^{(n)} - X_i^{(n)})\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\times(L_t^{i2^{-n/2}}(Y) - L_t^{j2^{-m/2}}(Y))\\
C_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t) &= 2^{-n/4}\sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} L_t^{j2^{-m/2}}(Y)\sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} H_{2r}(X_{i+1}^{(n)} - X_i^{(n)})\\
D_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t) &= 2^{-n/4}\sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}} H_{2r}(X_{i+1}^{(n)} - X_i^{(n)})\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t) + \sum_{i<-p2^{n/2}} H_{2r}(X_{i+1}^{(n)} - X_i^{(n)})\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

We can see that since we have taken even integers $n \geqslant m \geqslant 0$ then $2^{m/2}$, $2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}$ and $2^{n/2}$ will be integers as well. This justifies the validity of the previous decomposition.

Let us go back to our proof. Firstly, we will prove that $A_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t)$, $B_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t)$ and $D_{m,n,p}^{(2r)}(t)$ converge to 0 in L^2 by letting n, then m, then p tend to infinity. Secondly, we will study the convergence in law (in the sense f.d.d) of

$$\big(C_{m,n,p}^{(2r)},\ 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N\big), \tag{3.24}$$

which will then be equivalent to the convergence in law (in the sense f.d.d) of

$$\big(V_n^{(2r)},\ 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N\big).$$

We will prove that $E[(A^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t))^2] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. We have, with ρ given by (3.17) (note that $\sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(a)^{2r} < \infty$ for any $1 \leqslant r \leqslant N$ because $H < 3/4$),

$$\begin{aligned}
&E[(A^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t))^2] \\
= \;& \Bigg| 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \; \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \; \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \; \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} E\big[H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{i+1} - X^{(n)}_{i}) \\
&\times H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{i'+1} - X^{(n)}_{i'})\big] E\big[(\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t) - L^{i2^{-n/2}}_t(Y))(\mathcal{L}_{i',n}(t) - L^{i'2^{-n/2}}_t(Y))\big] \Bigg| \\
\leqslant \;& (2r)!\; 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \; \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \; \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \; \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r} \\
&\|\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t) - L^{i2^{-n/2}}_t(Y)\|_2 \times \|\mathcal{L}_{i',n}(t) - L^{i'2^{-n/2}}_t(Y)\|_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the first equality, we used the independence between X and Y. By the point 3 of Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t) - L^{i2^{-n/2}}_t(Y)\|_2 \leqslant 2n2^{-n/4}\|K\|_4 \|L^{i2^{-n/2}}_t(Y)\|_2^{1/2}. \tag{3.25}$$

On the other hand

$$\|L^{i2^{-n/2}}_t(Y)\|_2 \leqslant \|L^{i2^{-n/2}}_t(Y) - L^0_t(Y)\|_2 + \|L^0_t(Y)\|_2. \tag{3.26}$$

By the point 2 of Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\|L^{i2^{-n/2}}_t(Y) - L^0_t(Y)\|_2 \leqslant \mu\sqrt{|i|2^{-n/2}}\, t^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.27}$$

By combining (3.26) and (3.27), we get that $\|L^{i2^{-n/2}}_t(Y)\|_2 \leqslant \mu\sqrt{|i|}\, 2^{-n/4} t^{\frac{1}{4}} + \|L^0_t(Y)\|_2$. Since $\sqrt{a+b} \leqslant \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$ for all $a, b \geqslant 0$, we deduce that

$$\|L^{i2^{-n/2}}_t(Y)\|_2^{1/2} \leqslant \sqrt{\mu}|i|^{1/4}2^{-n/8}t^{1/8} + \|L^0_t(Y)\|_2^{1/2}. \tag{3.28}$$

Finally, (3.28) together with (3.25) show that

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{(i,n)}(t) - L^{i2^{-n/2}}_t(Y)\|_2 \leqslant 2\sqrt{\mu}\|K\|_4 t^{1/8} n2^{-n/4}2^{-n/8}|i|^{1/4} + 2\|K\|_4\|L^0_t(Y)\|_2^{1/2} n2^{-n/4}. \tag{3.29}$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E[(A^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t))^2] \\
\leqslant\ & 4(2r)!\,\mu\, t^{1/8}t^{1/8}\|K\|_4^2\, 2^{-n}2^{-n/4}n^2 \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}}\ \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \qquad (3.30)\\
& \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1}\ \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r}|ii'|^{1/4} \\
+\ & 4(2r)!\,\sqrt{\mu}t^{1/8}\|K\|_4^2\|L_t^0(Y)\|_2^{1/2}2^{-n}2^{-n/8}n^2 \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \qquad (3.31)\\
& \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}}\ \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1}\ \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r}|i|^{1/4} \\
+\ & 4(2r)!\,\sqrt{\mu}t^{1/8}\|K\|_4^2\|L_t^0(Y)\|_2^{1/2}2^{-n}2^{-n/8}n^2 \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \qquad (3.32)\\
& \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}}\ \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1}\ \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r}|i'|^{1/4} \\
+\ & 4(2r)!\,\|K\|_4^2\|L_t^0(Y)\|_2^{1/2}\|L_t^0(Y)\|_2^{1/2}2^{-n}n^2 \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \qquad (3.33)\\
& \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}}\ \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1}\ \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r},
\end{aligned}$$

and we are thus left to prove the convergence to 0 of (3.30)-(3.33) as $n\to\infty$. Let us do it.

(a) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{-n}n^2 \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}}\ \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}}\ \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1}\ \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r} \\
& = 2^{-n}n^2 \sum_{i=-p2^{n/2}}^{p2^{n/2}-1}\ \sum_{i'=-p2^{n/2}}^{p2^{n/2}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r} \\
& \leqslant 2^{-n}n^2 \sum_{i=-p2^{n/2}}^{p2^{n/2}-1}\ \sum_{i'\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(i')^{2r} = \sum_{i'\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(i')^{2r} n^2 2^{-n}(2p2^{n/2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since it is clear that the last quantity converges to 0 as $n\to\infty$, one deduces that (3.33) tends to zero.

(b) Since $-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}$ and $(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}\leqslant i'\leqslant j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1$, we deduce that $-p2^{n/2}\leqslant i'\leqslant p2^{n/2}-1$. So, $|i'|\leqslant p2^{n/2}$. Consequently we have that $|i'|^{1/4}\leqslant p^{1/4}2^{n/8}$, which shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{-n}2^{-n/8}n^2 \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \ \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \ \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \ \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r}|i'|^{1/4} \\
&\leqslant p^{1/4}2^{-n}n^2 \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \ \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \ \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \ \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r}
\end{aligned}$$

and this last quantity converges to 0 by the same argument as above. This shows that (3.32) tends to zero.

(c) Following the same strategy as in point (b), one deduces that (3.31) tends to zero. Details are left to the reader.

(d) By the same arguments as above, one can see that $|ii'|^{1/4} \leqslant p^{1/2}2^{n/4}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{-n}2^{-n/4}n^2 \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \ \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \ \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \ \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r}|ii'|^{1/4} \\
&\leqslant p^{1/2}2^{-n}n^2 \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \ \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \ \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \ \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \rho(i-i')^{2r},
\end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 by the same arguments as above. Hence, (3.30) tends to zero. The proof of $E[(A^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t))^2] \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ is complete.

Now, let us prove the convergence of $B^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t)$ to 0 in L^2 as $m \to \infty$, uniformly in n. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E[(B^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t))^2] \\
&= 2^{-n/2} \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \ \sum_{-p2^{m/2}+1\leqslant j'\leqslant p2^{m/2}} \ \sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \ \sum_{i'=(j'-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j'2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} \\
&\quad (2r)!\rho(i-i')^{2r} \times E[(L_t^{i2^{-n/2}}(Y) - L_t^{j2^{-m/2}}(Y))(L_t^{i'2^{-n/2}}(Y) - L_t^{j'2^{-m/2}}(Y))].
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.2 (point 2) and Cauchy-Schwarz, there is a universal constant μ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|E[(L_t^{i2^{-n/2}}(Y) - L_t^{j2^{-m/2}}(Y))(L_t^{i'2^{-n/2}}(Y) - L_t^{j'2^{-m/2}}(Y))]\right| \\
\leqslant\ & \mu^2\sqrt{t}\sqrt{|i2^{-n/2} - j2^{-m/2}||i'2^{-n/2} - j'2^{-m/2}|} \leqslant \mu^2\sqrt{t}2^{-m/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_n E[(B^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t))^2] &\leqslant \mu^2(2r)!\,2^{-m/2}\sqrt{t}\\ &\qquad\times\sup_n\left\{2^{-n/2}\sum_{i=-p2^{n/2}}^{p2^{n/2}-1}\sum_{i'=-p2^{n/2}}^{p2^{n/2}-1}\rho(i-i')^{2r}\right\}\\ &\leqslant \mu^2(2r)!\,2^{-m/2}\sqrt{t}\,2p\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\rho(i)^{2r},\end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as $m\to\infty$.

Finally, let us prove that $D^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t)$ converges to 0 in L^2 as $p\to\infty$, uniformly in m and n. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&E\big[(D^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t))^2\big]\\ =\;& 2^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}}\sum_{j\geqslant p2^{n/2}}E\big[H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{i+1}-X^{(n)}_i)H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{j+1}-X^{(n)}_j)\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t)\big] &&(3.34)\\ &+22^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}}\sum_{j<-p2^{n/2}}E\big[H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{i+1}-X^{(n)}_i)H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{j+1}-X^{(n)}_j) &&(3.35)\\ &\qquad\qquad\times\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t)\big]\\ &+2^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{i<-p2^{n/2}}\sum_{j<-p2^{n/2}}E\big[H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{i+1}-X^{(n)}_i)H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{j+1}-X^{(n)}_j) &&(3.36)\\ &\qquad\qquad\times\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t)\big],\end{aligned}$$

and we are thus left to prove the convergence to 0 of (3.34)-(3.36) as $p\to\infty$, uniformly in m and n. Let us do it.

(a) We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|2^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}}\sum_{j\geqslant p2^{n/2}}E\big[H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{i+1}-X^{(n)}_i)H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{j+1}-X^{(n)}_j)\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t)\big]\right|\\ =\;&\left|2^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}}\sum_{j\geqslant p2^{n/2}}E\big[H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{i+1}-X^{(n)}_i)H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{j+1}-X^{(n)}_j)\big]\right.\\ &\qquad\left.\times E\big[\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t)\big]\right|\\ =\;&(2r)!\,2^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}}\sum_{j\geqslant p2^{n/2}}\rho(i-j)^{2r}E\big[\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t)\big]\end{aligned}$$

where, in the second equality, we used the independence between X and Y. It is enough to prove that, uniformly in n and m, and as $p\to\infty$:

$$2^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}}\sum_{j\geqslant p2^{n/2}}\rho(i-j)^{2r}E\big[\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t)\big]\to 0. \tag{3.37}$$

We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}} \sum_{j\geqslant p2^{n/2}} \rho(i-j)^{2r} E\big[\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t)\big] \\
&\leqslant 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}} \sum_{j\geqslant p2^{n/2}} \rho(i-j)^{2r} E\big[\frac{1}{2}\big(\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)^2+\mathcal{L}_{j,n}(t)^2\big)\big] \\
&= 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}} E\big[\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)^2\big] \sum_{j\geqslant p2^{n/2}} \rho(i-j)^{2r} \\
&\leqslant 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}} E\big[\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)^2\big] \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(j)^{2r} = C_r 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}} E\big[\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)^2\big]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_r := \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho(j)^{2r} < \infty$. By the third point of Proposition 2.2, we have

$$
|\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)| \leqslant L_t^{i2^{-n/2}}(Y) + 2Kn2^{-n/4}\sqrt{L_t^{i2^{-n/2}}(Y)}
$$

so that

$$
E\big[\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)^2\big] \leqslant 2E\big[L_t^{i2^{-n/2}}(Y)^2\big] + 8n^2 2^{-n/2}\|K^2\|_2 \|L_t^{i2^{-n/2}}(Y)\|_2. \tag{3.38}
$$

On the other hand, thanks to the point 1 of Proposition 2.2, we have

$$
E\big[L_t^{i2^{-n/2}}(Y)^2\big] \leqslant Ct\exp\big(-\frac{(i2^{-n/2})^2}{2t}\big). \tag{3.39}
$$

Consequently, we get

$$
\|L_{t_{a_2}}^{i2^{-n/2}}(Y)\|_2 \leqslant C^{1/2}t^{1/2}\exp\big(-\frac{(i2^{-n/2})^2}{4t}\big). \tag{3.40}
$$

By combining (3.38) with (3.39) and (3.40), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}} E\big[(\mathcal{L}_{i,n}(t)^2\big] \leqslant 2Ct2^{-n/2} & \sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}} \exp\big(-\frac{(i2^{-n/2})^2}{2t}\big) \\
& + 8C^{1/2}t^{1/2}\|K^2\|_2 n^2 2^{-n/2} \\
& \quad \times 2^{-n/2} \sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}} \exp\big(-\frac{(i2^{-n/2})^2}{4t}\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

But, for $a \in \{2,4\}$,

$$
2^{-n/2} \sum_{i\geqslant p2^{n/2}} \exp\big(-\frac{(i2^{-n/2})^2}{at}\big) \leqslant \int_{p-1}^{\infty} \exp\big(\frac{-x^2}{at}\big)dx \underset{p\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.
$$

This proves (3.37). Hence, we deduce that (3.34) converges to 0 as $p \to \infty$ uniformly in n and m.

(b) Following the same strategy as in point (a), one deduces that (3.35) and (3.36) converge to 0 as $p \to \infty$ uniformly in n and m. Details are left to the reader.

This shows that $D^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t)$ converges to 0 in L^2 as $p \to \infty$, uniformly in m and n.

To finish our proof of (1.5), it remains to prove that, by letting n, then m, then p tend to infinity, we get

$$\left\{C^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t),\, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0} \overset{\text{f.d.d.}}{\longrightarrow} \left\{\sigma_{2r}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L^x_t(Y)dB^{(r)}_x \,:\, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0}. \tag{3.41}$$

Since $H < 3/4$, we claim that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\left(2^{-n/4}\sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{i+1} - X^{(n)}_i),\, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N : -p2^{m/2}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant p2^{m/2}\right) \overset{\text{law}}{\longrightarrow} \tag{3.42}$$

$$\left(\sigma_{2r}(B^{(r)}_{(j+1)2^{-m/2}} - B^{(r)}_{j2^{-m/2}}),\, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N : -p2^{m/2}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant p2^{m/2}\right)$$

where $(B^{(1)},\dots,B^{(N)})$ is a N-dimensional two-sided Brownian motion. It is clear, using the self-similarity property of X, that the convergence in law of

$$\left(2^{-n/4}\sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} H_{2r}(X^{(n)}_{i+1} - X^{(n)}_i),\, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N : -p2^{m/2}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant p2^{m/2}\right)$$

is equivalent to the convergence in law of

$$\left(2^{-n/4}\sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} H_{2r}(X_{i+1} - X_i),\, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N : -p2^{m/2}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant p2^{m/2}\right).$$

Then, Breuer-Major Theorem 2.3 applies and yields that, as $n \to \infty$ and for any fixed $1 \leqslant r \leqslant N$,

$$\left(2^{-n/4}\sum_{i=(j-1)2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}}^{j2^{\frac{n-m}{2}}-1} H_{2r}(X_{i+1} - X_i) : -p2^{m/2}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant p2^{m/2}\right) \overset{\text{law}}{\longrightarrow}$$

$$\left(\sigma_{2r}(B^{(r)}_{(j+1)2^{-m/2}} - B^{(r)}_{j2^{-m/2}}) : -p2^{m/2}+1 \leqslant j \leqslant p2^{m/2}\right).$$

In addition, from Peccati-Tudor Theorem 2.4 and taking into account the orthogonality of Hermite polynomial with different orders, we deduce (3.42). (The detailed proof of this result is similar to the the proof of (3.20)).

As a consequence of (3.42), and thanks to the independence of X and Y, we have that as $n \to \infty$,

$$\left\{C^{(2r)}_{m,n,p}(t),\, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0} \xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}}$$
$$\left\{\sigma_{2r} \sum_{j=-p2^{m/2}+1}^{p2^{m/2}} L_t^{j2^{-m/2}}(Y)(B^{(r)}_{(j+1)2^{-m/2}} - B^{(r)}_{j2^{-m/2}}),\, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0}.$$

Since, for any fixed $t \geqslant 0$ and $1 \leqslant r \leqslant N$ and as $m \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{j=-p2^{m/2}+1}^{p2^{m/2}} L_t^{j2^{-m/2}}(Y)(B^{(r)}_{(j+1)2^{-m/2}} - B^{(r)}_{j2^{-m/2}}) \xrightarrow{P} \int_{-p}^{p} L_t^x(Y)dB^{(r)}_x,$$

and since $\int_{-p}^{p} L_t^x(Y)dB^{(r)}_x \xrightarrow{P} \int_{\mathbb{R}} L_t^x(Y)dB^{(r)}_x$ as $p \to \infty$, we deduce finally that by letting m, then p tend to infinity, we get

$$\left\{\sigma_{2r} \sum_{j=-p2^{m/2}+1}^{p2^{m/2}} L_t^{j2^{-m/2}}(Y)(B^{(r)}_{(j+1)2^{-m/2}} - B^{(r)}_{j2^{-m/2}}),\, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0} \xrightarrow{\text{f.d.d.}}$$
$$\left\{\sigma_{2r} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L_t^x(Y)dB^{(r)}_x \,:\, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant N\right\}_{t\geqslant 0}.$$

This proves (3.41), and consequently (1.5).

3.3 Proof of Corollary 1.2

Let us decompose x^p in terms of Hermite polynomials. We have $x^p = \sum_{k=0}^{p} a_{p,k}H_k(x)$, where $a_{p,k}$ is some (explicit) integer. To calculate $a_{p,k}$, let N be a centred Gaussian variable with variance one. We have

$$N^p = \sum_{k=0}^{p} a_{p,k}H_k(N). \tag{3.43}$$

Thanks to the orthogonality property of Hermite polynomials with different orders and to the well known fact that $E[H_k(N)^2] = k!$, we get

$$a_{p,k} = \frac{1}{k!}E[N^pH_k(N)]. \tag{3.44}$$

On the other hand (see, eg., [10] page 19) we have, for all $k \geqslant 1$,

$$H_k(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor k/2\rfloor} \frac{k!(-1)^l}{l!(k-2l)!2^l}x^{k-2l}. \tag{3.45}$$

By combining (3.44) with (3.45), we deduce that

$$a_{p,k} = \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor k/2\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^l}{l!(k-2l)!2^l}E(N^{p+k-2l}). \tag{3.46}$$

Thus,

$$a_{p,k} = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^l (p+k-2l)!}{l!(k-2l)!2^l 2^{\frac{p+k-2l}{2}} (\frac{p+k-2l}{2})!} & \text{if } p \text{ and } k \text{ are odd} \\ \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^l (p+k-2l)!}{l!(k-2l)!2^l 2^{\frac{p+k-2l}{2}} (\frac{p+k-2l}{2})!} & \text{if } p \text{ and } k \text{ are even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \cdot$$

As a result, we deduce that if p is odd, then

$$x^p = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor + 1} a_{p,2k-1} H_{2k-1}(x), \tag{3.47}$$

whereas if p is even, then

$$x^p = \sum_{k=0}^{p/2} a_{p,2k} H_{2k}(x), \tag{3.48}$$

Finally, thanks to (3.47), (3.48), Theorem 1.1 and the Continuous Mappinp Theorem, we deduce the content of Corollary 1.2.

Acknowledgements. I thank my supervisor Ivan Nourdin for his help and for several interesting discussions about this article.

References

[1] K. Burdzy (1993). *Some path properties of iterated Brownian motion.* In Seminar on Stochastic Processes (E. Cinlar, K. L. Chung and M. J. Sharpe, eds.) 67-87. Birkhaüser, Boston.

[2] K. Burdzy (1994). *Variation of iterated Brownian motion.* In Workshop and Conference on Measure-Valued Processes, Stochastic Partial Differential Equations and Interacting Particle Systems (D. A. Dawson, ed.) 35-53. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

[3] K. Burdzy and D. Khoshnevisan (1998). *Brownian motion in a Brownian crack.* Ann. Appl. Probab. 8 708-748.

[4] P. Breuer and P. Major (1983). *Central limit theorems for non-linear functionals of Gaussian fiels.* J. Multiv. Anal 13, 425-441 (1983).

[5] D. Khoshnevisan and T. M. Lewis (1996). *The uniform modulus of continuity for iterated Brownian motion.* J. Theoret. Probab. 9 317-333.

[6] D. Khoshnevisan and T. M. Lewis (1999). *Stochastic calculus for Brownian motion on a Brownian fracture.* Ann. Appl. Probab. 1999, Vol. 9, No. 3, 629-667.

[7] D. Khoshnevisan and T. M. Lewis (1999). *Iterated Brownian motion and its intrinsic skeletal structure.* Progress in Probability. Birkhaüser 45, 201-210, Birkhaüser Verlag, Basel (1999).

[8] H. Kesten and F. Spitzer (1979). *A limit theorem related to a new class of self-similar process.* Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 50, 5-25. MR0550121

[9] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati, 2008. *Weighted power variations of iterated Brownian motion.* Electron. J. Probab. Vol. 13 (2008), Paper no. 43, pages 1229-1256.

[10] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati (2012). *Normal Approximations using Malliavin Calculus: from Stein's Method to the Universality.* Cambridge University Press.

[11] G. Peccati and C.A. Tudor (2004). *Gaussian limits for vector-valued multiple stochastic integrals.* Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVIII, 247-262.